I. Call to order at 8:33 pm
II. Roll Call: Grace, Matt, and Georgia are absent
III. President’s Welcome: John welcomes everyone to this BSG meeting and expresses his excitement to see a “full house” for this “full agenda.” He says that we will begin with public comment time and then go into the formal presentation by the coordinators of Meatless Monday. John changes gears for a second and says that he hopes everyone at the assembly has read Dean Foster’s email about the incident that occurred on the 15th floor of the tower. He says that, if any member hasn’t, someone with the words “Meatless Monday Rocks” on a dry-erase board on the door had the slogan changed from “rocks” to “sucks” and added a racial and homophobic epithet with that “edit.” John declares that this issue shouldn’t be that divisive on campus. He says that each member at this meeting should be respectful, welcoming, calm, cool, and collected – because he will shut this down if it gets out of control. John’s demeanor changes, and he welcomes everyone here for open public comment time. He requests that everyone go around and state their name, class, year, and speak about why he/she is here. He welcomes each of them to stay during the presentation and stay afterwards.

IV. Public Comment Time

- Kareia is a junior who is against Meatless Mondays. She says that, due to her own personal health conditions, she is not able to go for a meal without meat.
- Sam Landis is a senior who is against Meatless Mondays. He argues that meal plans are expensive, so therefore students have the right to choose what they eat. He feels that raising awareness for a cause is great, but let students choose – make it in one dining hall. He feels students have the right to choose something we’ve already paid for.
- Sean Healey is a senior. He has nothing more to say.
- Cameron is a sophomore. He argues that the notion that students have “choice” is not entirely accurate. He says that every day dining chooses to serve students a nutritious meal – i.e. one that is already picked for us. He feels that this choice is the philosophy of the dining halls. He feels that student should be well fed – be that with whole wheat pasta or soy milk or meat from local farms. He feels that Meatless Monday is a concept. If it ends up being used in the future, it should open students eyes to the issues it represents. He feels that maybe once a week, once a year, once a semester, Meatless Mondays will be doing a lot of good. He says that, at the Meatless Monday table that evening, there was a lot of positive feedback – AND a lot of people screaming “no.” However, he feels that this is a new way to educate students. Cameron feels that this may be one night where students’ routines are questioned in light of bigger issues in our nation and our planet.
- Andrew Pitching is a junior who is for Meatless Mondays. He, however, feels that the event shouldn’t take place at both dining halls and that the event shouldn’t take place every week (in order to compromise with the opposition). He feels it’s a worthy cause, and even suggests that perhaps the slogan “Moulton Meatless Mondays” could work.
Wilson Taylor is a senior who agrees with the sentiments of the previous two students. He feels it is a great way to emphasize and dramatize the issue at hand and demonstrate that the choices student make affect other issues. “The nation’s at war, and our planet is in peril. There are plenty of people with no meat and no food.” He expresses his own opinion that Meatless Mondays are not trying to change / take away the choice to eat meat – but are just trying to start a conversation.

John thanks everyone for their comment and welcomes everyone to stick around for the presentation of how the first Meatless Monday went as presented by Katie Shaw and Ben Richmond. He requests that they present and then speak to what they’d like the future to be of Meatless Mondays.

V. Guests
a. Bowdoin Dems – Meatless Mondays
   - Ben kicks us off and says thank you to the public commenters for their opinions. He also thanks BSG for having them here. He wants to begin the presentation with a quote from David Crocker, the Head Chef at Moulton. He had been quoted saying that Meatless Mondays were a great way to get people thinking about what they eat and how it affects each person’s health and environment and helps raise awareness. Ben then goes into what exactly Meatless Mondays are. He had hear about them from a friend at another school. Upon further research, he discovered that the idea originated at Johns Hopkins University as an addendum to their “improve the environment” campaigns and their “Monday campaigns for health.” Ben informs the assembly and the public present that many schools, including Johns Hopkins, Yale, and Columbia, participate in the event by offering a meat free menu in one dining hall of many. Ben then launches into why Meatless Mondays are good. He says that, for the most part, it’s for the health benefits. He informs everyone that diets heavy in meat are not good. He says that such diets increase mortality and result in higher rates of cancer, diabetes, and heart disease. According to statistics, Americans eat 45% more meat than recommended than the USDA, and 60% of college students eat more saturated fat than recommended.
   - Katie jumps in and says that the point is not to never eat meat ever again, but that the USDA shows the benefit of a slightly less meat-filled diet. She views Meatless Mondays as a campaign of moderation – not radicalism. Katie explains how starting on Monday has shown to affect people’s decisions for the rest of the week (it’s a psychological impact). Additionally, she wants to add the environmental benefits into the mix. She produces a graph depicting the amount of water it takes to produce a standard amount of each substance in question. According to the chart, beef requires the most amount of water, followed by pork and chicken (which requires about as much water as rice to produce). Not only water, but carbon dioxide produce comes into the mix with production of food. Katie shows that the greenhouse gasses released from the production of red meat, dairy, chicken, fish, and eggs amounts to 9% of the total of US CO₂ emissions. She shockingly reveals that to reduce meat consumption by 20% in the United States would be like switching everyone from sedans to Prius cars. She then shows another graph where pork yields ¼ of beef CO₂ emissions and chicken 1/10.
   - Ben reveals that they began building support by talking to campus groups. The group involved 12 student clubs, from Peer Health to Hillel, and 8 athletic teams.
He says that this was never meant to be a “Dems” event, as the Orient so called it – it was a coalition. He and Katie wanted this to be a broad involvement of groups.

- Katie then takes the stage as she elaborates on how they got the program started. She says that even before she and Ben got the campus on board, they spoke to Dining – because if dining wouldn’t participate, there would be no point. She explicitly explains that dining helped to inform the choice that Meatless Mondays’ pilot run would be done at both dining halls. She said they were worried that, if it were a huge failure, than the dining service at one dining hall serving meat would have to deal with huge lines and angry people. The dining services also wanted to test the strength of different vegetarian meals.

- Ben elaborated that Moulton, with the commonplace eggplant parmesan, took the majority of consumers over the more eclectic menu of Thorne. He said that he heard a lot of comments coming from the patrons of Moulton saying that if no one had told them it was Meatless Monday, they wouldn’t have noticed. Though one dining hall had more students than the other, he still classifies the event a success - with 1,619 students eating at the dining halls (well within the normal range, according to dining services). He does address that the pub had three times its normal business that Monday night. Ben does point out, however, that this amounts to only about 60 more people than usual patronizing the pub on Monday.

- Katie solidifies the view, saying that it doesn’t seem that people went off campus any more so than they would have on any other Monday night. She moves onto the responses received from Meatless Monday, ranging from “Way to go Bowdoin Dining” to “We are the Resistance.” Additionally, dining shared the comment cards received that night (way more were filled out than on a usual night). She said all the comments were positive, lest one or two that were illegible/made no sense. Katie also reports that the conversations with students held at the tables set up in both dining halls were productive in that they were able to inform students why Meatless Monday was happening and what the benefits were. She said it was great to have “rational, informative, calm-cool-collected dialogue to people on both sides of the event.”

- Ben says that, after going through all conversations and feedback, he feels that the general consensus building is that student believe this is a positive event that raised awareness but they also feel that they should be able to choose what they are eating – which, in Ben’s opinion, is legitimate. He reiterates how the decision to hold the event at both dining halls was administrative. As for next steps, he and Katie would like to see Meatless Mondays become a regular event at the College. He says that there are many options to choose from: one where either one or both dining halls go completely meatless for a night, with meat upon request, one where both dining halls don’t serve only red meat (beef), and one where one of the above occurs only sporadically, such as on the first Monday of every month.

- Katie announces that they have reached the conclusion of their presentation. She says that she and Ben were generally pleased at the conversations that were held; they felt like students became engage in the issue. Unfortunately, they also feel that some students on campus represent the “indifferent” side – that’s just the way campus works. Katie expresses the sentiment that they would love to figure out what the campus consensus was on the issue, and see if Meatless Mondays could be implemented on a regular basis in some shape or fashion, though that was not necessarily the case one-and-a-half weeks ago.
John announces that BSG will conduct a survey, and appoints Leah and the facilities committee to that job.

Sam Landis objects to Meatless Mondays continuing and perhaps intensifying. His main concern is just having one dining hall operating as “normal” and the other not serving meat. He argues that dining suggested the pilot trial be held in two different dining halls for a reason, and if the program is run again but only in one dining hall, the same problems may occur. He feels the statistics shown are skewed, since students had no choice, since people who wanted meat and didn’t want to spend money had to go to the dining hall to at least get nourishment. He really doesn’t like the idea of intensifying

Katie didn’t mean to say that Meatless Mondays should be one at every dining hall every week – there are plenty of “in between” options.

Cameron comments on the discontent of campus. He invokes the responses to the implementation of the new printing system. He claims that students are reluctant to change; however, in assessing the bigger picture and realizing that the message Bowdoin sends has a long-term effect, students are willing to adapt if practical. He states explicitly that he is not advocating on behalf of Meatless Mondays, but he feels that students would be able to adjust to the occasional event.

Sean wonders, if only one dining hall participates in the event, the other dining hall not serving meat will experience a flood of students.

Katie reminds the assembly that dining was only scared for the pilot run due to the differences in vegetarian options that could be offered. However, from the first event, it can be seen that people loved the eggplant parmesan, so if dining makes a strong menu, there is no flooding issue to fear.

Derek says that, on one side, he would support one dining hall occasionally (seeing as he doesn’t always eat meat), but on the other side he feels that the justice of the cause will have been compromised. He makes clear that he’s not attacking the initiative, but with all the mal-information about how the event was conducted and the general discontent brewing, he feel continuing the program would be a difficult proposition to put forward.

Kareia argumentatively questions how Katie and Ben gathered their support from the organizations listed. She claims to be a member of two of said organizations and was never asked whether or not she wanted to support it.

Katie says that they got into contact with the club/sports leaders, gave them a PDF of facts, and asked them to get in touch if their club or team wanted to be a supporter of the initiative. She didn’t think it was their job to tell them how to determine whether or not their club/team would be willing to support the initiative.

Kareia angrily crosses her arms and says how, while it’s not Katie nor Ben’s faults, those leaders took it upon themselves to represent the whole team, which is wrong.

Dani says it’s very reasonable to send out a survey. She feels this issue has garnered enough momentum that, even though the BSG is a representative body, there should be a student referendum on the issue and they should decide for themselves.

John says that there are two ways to get the student body as a whole involved. He says that there’s the informal Survey Monkey way where everyone responds, and then there’s the formal referendum that has student go through the voting server
and is a ... very involved process. [He makes it clear that he does not want to go through that process right now – there is laughter in the air].

- Dani clarifies that she meant a referendum in the less horrible (survey monkey) way *Laughter.*
- Alex says he is in favor of both. He feels that somehow the choice should be decided by the entire student body because it affects everyone. He says that he’s heard a lot of mixed emotions about this. Alex also offered the idea of “Beefless Mondays” since beef seems to be the main culprit.
- Katie says that that was supposed to be the point of “no red meat.” She does explain that there would have to be a system in place to make sure dining doesn’t just switch the red meat they were going to serve on Monday to Tuesday, otherwise the point would be defeated. She says it would involve dining intensively and would be more complicated, but definitely doable.
- Chris believes there still will be a problem – students pay for access to the dining halls, and even if the majority of students are for Meatless Mondays, we can’t say that those minority of people don’t get the food they want.
- Sam argues against this logic by saying that there will always be people who don’t like what’s being served in the dining hall.
- Chris still thinks that meat is a big part of most peoples diet to cut out. [...isn’t that the point of the program?]
- Kyle says that, when people enter a contract with the school, there is no stipulation in the contract that says “we will serve you meat.” He argues that, because it is the “norm” people are up in arms when the norm is disrupted. Kyle believes that Bowdoin doesn’t have an obligation to serve meat at every meal.
- Kareia says that when she signed the contract with Bowdoin, they entered an agreement that they will only serve shellfish in only one dining hall due to her anaphylactic reaction to shellfish. She said that she had people coming up to her that were anemic who needed meat in their diet – she does think that Bowdoin has the obligation to keep everyone healthy and provide what is needed for them to remain so.
- Jordan responds to the accusation of Meatless Mondays being an “assault” on student choice. He says that dining exposes students to many food cultures on different days – he doesn’t see this as anything other than dining exposing everyone to vegetarianism food culture.
- Tessa really likes the idea of “meat on request” in case people need for health reasons.
- Cameron says that he has plenty of friends who are vegan and the dining halls are more than happy to comply with whipping up something vegan for them in the back. He says that people who are anemic and must have meat can be taken on a case by case basis – there are plenty of people who don’t eat dairy, et al.
- Jack points out that people today are just talking around each other – no arguments are going on, everyone’s just making different points.
- Anna says that she liked the through process of utilizing the dining halls to test different menus against each other.
- John sees that there are a couple of camps on this, but they will send out a survey on survey monkey to figure out which choice campus would support. He reiterates that Leah will coordinate putting together a group of people for and against
Meatless Mondays as well as a couple of BSG members to help her compose a survey. He also recommends that she contact the institutional research office to have them look over the survey to make sure the survey doesn’t “push” people in any direction. Next week we’ll come back and see what we do from there. He thanks everyone for coming.

VI. Old Business
VII. New Business
   a. Wrap-up Dean Foster
      • John says that this could be no more than five minutes. He recaps how last week the entire meeting was spent with Dean Foster and at the end we touched on interesting concepts concerning alcohol. John would like to invite people’s reactions, i.e. what people would like to do from here to move forward on that issue.
      • There is a prolonged silence, until Alex says that it was a “great idea!” *Laughter*
      • Anna says that she attended an alcohol summit last year where there was great discussion. She wants more Bowdoin students to be a part of that discussion.
      • John informs the assembly that there is another one this year in April. He says that the invited group usually consists of prospective leaders (house members, ResLife, captains, et. al.) and an assortment of people across campus.
      • Derek would like to reiterate on thing that was put forward. It was mentioned that the administration can’t create a change in culture, and therefore Dean Foster looked to us, the BSG, to see it as something to get involved in. He doesn’t have any clear idea if anyone has any suggestions of what role the BSG can play in the changing of culture. He wonders what could the BSG do that would be different than what the administration can do.
      • Dani wasn’t there, but she feels Dean Foster meant the individuals in this room, not exactly the BSG as a body.
      • John asks for any specific ideas people have.
      • Leah said that she and others had asked about gender-neutral housing, and his main response had been that the administration was not yet “at that point.” She and the Facilities Committee are conducting an investigation into other schools and will have a proposal on the table soon.
   b. Student Voting Rights in Maine
      • John, seeing no more commentary, moves on to the proposal in the packet. He says that members might notice how the proposal looks different; it is because it came from executive committee. For this moment, Anirudh is the chair of the committee. [He hands the gavel to Anirudh who snatches it and says, “YESS!”] John continues that this proposal was something that came to his mind as he was flipping through the channels on the local news. He has discovered that there are two bills before the Maine state legislature: LD 199 and LD203. The gist of the two bills are that they can make it dramatically more difficult for students to vote in Maine. LD199 would make voters procure a Maine state photo ID listing an address within the district the voter is voting in in order to vote (in other words, the ID would have to say “Brunswick”). LD 203 would require voter registration a week in advance for individual voters and three weeks in advance registration for third-party registering (including the Bowdoin Dems or Republicans). While Maine still says that students have the right to vote, they are erecting potential barriers that would effectively
make it difficult for students to exercise that right. This resolution, John goes on to explain, once passed will be forwarded to the state house of representatives, senators, and governor. Ideally, this proposal would be considered over two weeks, but he spoke with one of the offices of the representatives today who said they’d be having a working session on Friday, for which they’d like to have this proposal to show to the floor.

- Anna wonders about any other states that have restrictions like this.
- John begins to talk, but then looks at Anirudh for permission. Anirudh calls on him [DRAMA!]. John continues that as far as the photo ID requirement, plenty of states have that in place, but not so far as to say the ID must have your current residence within the district within which you are voting. The way it works now in Brunswick is that each student must show some form of government-issued ID and then his/her student ID and tell where he/she lives. The passage of these bills would make that process more stringent. He explains how many other states do not allow same-day voting registration, and for that reason Maine has the third-highest voting rate in the nation (for allowing same-day registration). John explains the case of fraud – where there have been two in the last sixteen years (which both occurred last year and were accidents). There had been a misquote of that statistic in the proposal (it had said that there was only one case of voter fraud in the past 30 years) – therefore he makes a friendly amendment to the proposal.

- Dani asks if LD199 would make student who are from Maine get new Maine drivers licenses updating their address.
- John said that yes, the address would technically have to be changed each year to update for each new dorm. He says that UMaine passed a similar proposal to bring to the state government – which is how he also had the idea to bring Bowdoin into the debate.
- I ask if it is possible to produce a government ID and then the Bowdoin student ID with the dorm address on it as a possible way to get around the law.
- John answers that the address would have to be present on a Maine state ID.
- Amanda has a technical point in looking at the proposal. She says that the phrase “Whereas college students have unpredictable schedules, access to transportation, and access to proper identification documents, which will make registering for elections before they are held difficult;” is awkward and that there might be a notion that students should be responsible in procuring the required materials ahead of time.
- John said that he meant it to reference documents such as a birth certificate or passport, which might soon be needed if these laws pass.
- Jack has a similar technical point. There is a point that stipulates that Bowdoin students live in Maine for “over half the year” – he thinks it should be more persuasive.
- John asks how many months Bowdoin students live on campus.
- Amanda says eight.
- John changes the phrase to say “at least eight months.”
- Anirudh takes that as a friendly amendment.
- Dani wonders how much “we don’t want to fight against” LD203. She thinks that the BSG should be for the registration before the day of the election. She hopes that people cared about elections before that day, at least a month ahead of time.
She references personal experience that it was almost too easy for her to vote last year because she only knew one issue and hadn’t done appropriate research.

- Derek disagrees and thinks that everyone has the right to vote in every election, no matter how long he/she has thought of it.
- Dani believes students should be contemplating their votes. She understands that this legislation may be attempting to get voters to have given thought to the issues in each election before entering a voting booth. She asks why it is such a crime to get students thinking ahead of time.
- Sam counters Dani in saying that people aren’t excited about an election three weeks before – it’s more like a week before – and that’s not just college students. Further, he feels that people can be thoughtless even having registered a year before – registration doesn’t dictate thought process.
- Isa believes that no one needs three weeks to decide on who to vote on. Three weeks to decide on gay marriage? No, he believes the BSG must protect Bowdoin’s students’ rights.
- Allen agrees with Sam.
- John asks everyone to remember that the key thing is that registration one week before is only if a person is registering him/herself; going with a group would require a three-week in advance registration, which is historically how most student registrations occur.
- Dani asks John if he knows what caused “them” to want to pass these laws.
- John says that there are two answers to that. One is that the town and state clerks are limited and are overwhelmed with same-day registration. The other...[Dani says she can guess].
- Isa motions to vote.
- Before that occurs, Anirudh draws attention back to Amanda’s point.
- John says he agrees that the wording is awkward and would like to hear ideas.
- Chanwoong doesn’t think it’s necessary to include. Isa applauds and mumbles indiscernibly [what else is new? Isa likes to mumble...love you, Isa <3]
- John agrees and strikes that from the resolution and also adds that the names of the bills should be italicized and not in quotations.
- Everyone is now in favor of voting.
- A vote is taken on the new proposal with all the friendly amendments – unanimous passing, none abstaining.
- John grabs back the gavel from Anirudh.
- Derek makes the point that the BSG should educate the student body about this issue in terms of calling their local representatives to show their support for the student cause.
- John says that he will fax, email, and then hard-copy mail this and cover letters to the representatives as well as send a press release to the Herald and the Press. He says that both papers ran coverage on UMaine’s campaign and therefore our coverage in those papers as well might continue to show the virility of this issue to the legislators. John adds a quip that our representatives are all democrats so they’re likely to vote on “our side” anyway.
- Alex asks if the Bowdoin Dems and Republicans know about this.
• John said he mentioned it casually to “our” roommates who happen to be a part of the political scene on campus. Whether they are cognizant of this or not is to be determined.
• Amanda feels it’s important for student to know what this means before it is “splashed” across the Orient [the Orient Reporter Peter rolls his eyes].
• John says that the last time the BSG did something like this, there was a referendum passed by the student body that forced the BSG to oppose the Iraqi war. The one before that was to oppose the Vietnam war. He feels it’s important to put our name on things like this. Seeing no questions, John moves the on to the next topic.

c. Advertising Through the Mail Center
• Sam opens his argument by stating how the College is committed to reducing its carbon footprint and being environmental. He has found that mass mailings through the mail center provide a glaring exception to an otherwise good record. From personal experience, he knows that he gets a lot of nameless, address-less mailings which he throws out without reading. The other proposal in front of all the assembly members proposes to eliminate mailings excepting academic departments, the BSG, and class councils. After the survey, about 80% of those who took the survey want to get rid of the mailings, and ¼ of those who responded to the survey shared his position of not reading those mailings; 70% didn’t even take the mass mailings back to their rooms. Along with preventing student groups from sending out mass paper mailings, this proposal would encourage students to use the student activities online calendar as well as purchase a new bulletin board that will have all flyers for upcoming events.
• Amanda asks Sam if he’s tested how functional the event calendar (Bowdoin.edu/gateway/calendar) is, because she actually knows [insert aggressive-cat-meow/hiss here]. John invites everyone to be friends. She continues on her point saying that there are only certain number of people who can access the calendar, and since the creators of the calendar left and didn’t tell anyone how to remove members, IT said the only way to change it would be to re-code the entire calendar. Now people have to go through student activities to plug it in.
• Sam believes that going through the student activities office can act as a safety net to prevent against “trolls.”
• Jack wonders if the ½ dozen or so bulletin boards already in use don’t suffice. He believes it’s unnecessary.
• Allen understands the point of mass mailings. He knows that barely anyone reads the Digest, so people put things in mailboxes so others will see it. Even having a physical form they will throw away is better than not getting the word out.
• Dani likes it when class councils send them invitations in the mail.
• Isa says they are exempt from this proposal.
• Dani says that if “we” cut down on other vehicles students can use to advertise (with less emails and less mass mailings), we need to revamp the Digest.
• John says that as a member of the SWAT (Student Web Advisory Team), he knows that they are in the process of redesigning the Digest. He says that it looks like, by the end of this year, they will launch a new and revamped system. He says that it looks like, by the end of this year, they will launch a new and revamped system. He says that this system will allow students to “vote up” or “vote down” headlines. The news will also be organized by categories. John says that the improvements are still in the works, but it is in the process. Dani’s point is well taken.
• Derek wonders where the “opt out” option has gone.
• John says it has gone away. [LOL]
• Sam says that the mail center didn’t think that an individual “opt-out” system was feasible. Plus, the ultimate goal is to eliminate paper us.
• Dani poses an amendment to get rid of the bulletin board.
• Isa defends the proposal by saying that, ideally, the board would be changed every week so it’d be “fresh” every week compared to just having the posters hang there until they fall off. He says the board would go where the trash cans are by the mailboxes.
• Amanda wonders who would change the board every week and how it would work.
• Isa would hope that the groups would do it [hope being the key word – but that’s never going to happen].
• Leah comments that part of the job of the “info desk person” is to clear off the bulletin boards. If we choose to enforce that, it should be okay.
• Jack realizes their points, but he still doesn’t think this bulletin board will be any different than the others.
• Dani also says that the whole purpose of this endeavor is to reduce printing, and the posting of flyers would reduce that initiative. Yes, she knows one poster vs. all those mailing cards is different – but literally the entire hallway of the union is covered in posters.
• Chis repeats what Dani already says she knew – that the posters on the bulletin board are less than the 2,000 papers in mailboxes and that the paper used for the mailings is thicker and thus more wasteful.
• Amanda wonders if this bulletin board would be the end-all-be-all, that there would be no more posters allowed anywhere else.
• Jacks say maybe once we fix the digest. *Hubbub*
• John decides to table this proposal until next week and possibly make an amendment then too. Professor John assigns homework of “taking in the posters and everything between the trash cans and computers by the mail center – take in the feng shui.” He also reminds the members to talk about voting rights in Maine.
• Amanda asks the facilities committee to look into electronic advertisements, what with the TV screen right there.

VIII. Committee Reports – 5 words or less

a. BSG Affairs Committee: Anirudh is exempt from the “5-words or less” rule. He says that the J-Board announced their new members: Viraj (“VJ”) Gandhi – ‘14, Chelsea Shaffer – ’14, William Tucker – ’14, Allen Garner – ‘12, and Tyler Silver – ’13. In other news, in the back of the packet are the election dates for executive committee or council that were stressed at last meeting. There are also information session dates. Any questions – ask John, since Anirudh will be running. John informs the members that a current officer cannot give advice or help a current candidate get elected. Anirudh says the BSG Affairs committee is also working on coordinating an election debate in the pub. John also says they’re working on non-partisan voting in the dining halls. And, for running for president, each candidate must have spent a minimum of one minute as a member of the BSG.

b. SAFC: Kyle, “We spend money. It’s good.”

c. Student Affairs Committee: Chanwoong (forgetting the 5-words or less rule) says there will be a meeting at 7:30 on Thursday about chem-free housing. Be there.
d. **Student Organizations Committee**: Amanda, “Chess club is Charter 1.”

e. **Academic Affairs Committee**: Jordan, “Rosetta Stone and syllabi project.” Members cry for more information about Rosetta Stone, so he is given leeway on the previous word limitation. He says that there is a “Mango” program – a bad, free program – currently at the libraries. The University of Denver uses a Rosetta Stone application through their library. The Academic Affairs Committee is currently talking to IT about logistics and the libraries about looking into the financial feasibility of investing in the Rosetta Stone application.

f. **Facilities Committee**: Jordan, “Girl talk, Light bulbs, outlets.” [John says good job to Isa and Facilities for getting those outlets!]

**IX. Member Reports**

a. **Class Representatives**
   - 2011: Andrew, “Wine and cheese, Portland pub crawl!”
   - 2012: Jack, “Pub night, tank tops, etc.”
   - 2013: Leah, “Class gift, community service project.”
   - 2014: Hongbei, “No meeting last week.”

b. **IHC Representatives**: Chase, “341 applied, new record.”

c. **E-Board Representative**: Chris, “White panda successful, Ivies reception good.” [The newbie goes one over]

d. **Athletics Representative**: Anna, “Women’s basketball: 7pm, Friday.”

**X. President’s Report**: John exempts himself from the word-limit ruling. He encourages everyone to go to the BSG-sponsored event at 7:30 tomorrow and also to the aforementioned discussion at 7pm on Sunday in this room [Daggett] to participate in a community discussion on the racial and homophobic epithets. He says this was not acceptable conduct of a Bowdoin student.

**XI. Adjournment** at 9:42 pm